DECLARATION OF SIRHAN SIRHAN

Sirhan Sirhan hereby declares as follows:

- 1. In 1975, during the court ordered re-examination of the ballistics evidence in my case, I was represented by Attorney Godfrey Isaac.
- 2. On one occasion, Attorney Isaac visited me at Soledad prison and asked me to sign a court mandated approval form for the firearms examination to proceed. At that time, I expressed my concerns to Mr. Isaac about safeguarding the integrity of the physical evidence and Mr. Isaac wrote a notation on the approval form reflecting this concern.
- 3. At no time did Mr. Isaac tell me anything about a "Special Exhibit 10," or about any bullet comparisons connected with this Special Exhibit 10.
- 4. I did not at any time through: the history of this case have knowledge of the significance of Special Exhibit 10, its contents and circumstances, until my researcher, Ms. Rose Lynn Mangan, brought these matters to my attention sometime in 1993.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed this 27th day of August, 1998, at Corcoran, California.

Sirhan Sirhan

LL L

Sirhan B-21014 4A4R-64L P.O.Box 3476 Corcoran, CA 93212

March 18, 1996

Dear Lynn,

The more research you and my brother, Adel, do in this case, and the more instances of deceitful prosecutorial misconduct that you uncover from it, that much more I feel certain that I did not receive a fair trial.

As you well know, my defense attorneys did not hire any ballistics or handwriting experts and the absence of these experts on my side allowed the prosecution's case against me to go unchallenged. What bothers me more is that Chief Defense Counsel Grant Cooper stipulated to everything about the shooting and feebly interrogated the opposition's expert ballistics and medical witnesses about it.

You also know from the record that my defense of diminished capacity and my agreement to a plea bargain were entirely the concoction of the defense attorneys, namely Cooper, who had assumed from the outset that I was guilty and who had merely wanted to save my life from the gas chamber with a minimum of headache to everybody concerned. It is this hastiness, coupled with the lack of a thorough investigation and preparation on Cooper's part, I believe, that lead to my wrongful conviction. And the greatest advantage that the prosecution had during the trial was not Cooper's countless, blind stipulations, but my amnesia. They would not have succeeded in their fraud if I actually knew what happened during the shooting.

Your long and exhaustive study has revealed that there are simply too many inconsistencies in the physical evidence-guns and the number of guns; bullets and the number of bullets; trajectories; destruction of evidence; suppression of evidence (Noguchi's 6x3x2 mm fatal bullet fragment); tampering with evidence and perjury about evidence-and that only a new, open and an impartial examination of the record by experts will finally bring the truth about this tragedy to light. This is all we are asking for. If the case against me was so airtight, then why did the police and the district attorney need to rig the evidence to convict me?

Sincerely

Sirhan Sirhan